

No. 9/8/86-6-Lab./7256.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Vipco Auto Metal, 2-K/44 B.P., N.I.T., Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI A. S. CHALIA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 138 of 1986

between

SHRI A. M. SAGAR, WORKMAN AND THE RESPONDENT-MANAGEMENT OF  
M/S VIPCO AUTO METAL, 2-K/44 B. P. N. I. T., FARIDABAD

Present.—

None, for the workman.

Shri Satish Ahuja for the respondent.

#### AWARD

This reference under section 10 (i) (c) of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. 14 of 1947) as amended from time to time and latest by Act No. 49 of 1984 (hereinafter referred as the said Act) was made to this Court by the State of Haryana (Department of Labour).—vide its endorsement No. ID/FD/228-6/15335-39, dated 5th May, 1986 to adjudicate upon the dispute of service matter covered by Second Schedule under section 7 of the said Act, arisen between Shri A. M. Sagar workman and the management of M/s. Vipco Auto Metal, 2-K/44, B. P., N. I. T., Faridabad. Accordingly it has been registered as reference No. 138 of 1986.

It has been stated by Shri Satish Ahuja that since then matter has been finally settled with the workman and amount of Rs. 1,600 has also been paid to him. To this effect his statement has been recorded. He has produced on file photostat copy of relevant settlement Ex. M-1. The workman has not turned up. It seems that the dispute has been settled between the parties. The workman has no right of reinstatement/re-employment with the respondent.

The award is given accordingly.

Dated the 6th August, 1986.

A. S. CHALIA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

Endst. No. 2061, dated the 26th August, 1986.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

A. S. CHALIA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Faridabad.

KULWANT SINGH,  
Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Labour and Employment Department.

श्रम विभाग

दिनांक 8 सितम्बर, 1986

सं० ओ०वि० भिवानी/57-86/32910.—चूंकि हरियाणा के राज्यपाल की राय है कि परिवहन आयुक्त, हरियाणा, चण्डीगढ़, (2) जनरल मैनेजर, हरियाणा रोडवेज, जी०, के श्रमिक श्री मदन लाल, सुपुत्र श्री तेलू राम, गांव व डा० गोगरिया, तहसील उच्चाना, जिला जी० त० उससे प्रवन्धकों के बीच इसमें इसके बाद लिखित मामले में कोई औद्योगिक विवाद है;

और चूंकि हरियाणा के राज्यपाल विवाद को न्यायनिर्णय हेतु निर्दिष्ट करना वांछनीय समझते हैं;

इसलिये, अब, औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा 10 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (ग) द्वारा प्रदान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये हरियाणा के राज्यपाल इस को द्वारा सरकारी अधिसूचना सं० 9641-1-श्रम 78/32573, दिनांक 6 नवम्बर, 1970 के साथ गठित सरकारी अधिनियम की धारा 7 के अधीन गठित श्रम न्यायालय, रोहतक को विवादग्रस्त या उससे सुसंगत या उससे सम्बन्धित नीचे लिखी मामला न्यायनिर्णय एवं पंचाट तीन मास में देने हेतु निर्दिष्ट करते हैं जो कि उक्त प्रवन्धकों तथा श्रमिक के बीच या तो विवादग्रस्त मामला है या उक्त विवाद से सुसंगत अथवा सम्बन्धित है :—

क्या श्री मदन लाल की सेवाओं का समापन न्यायोचित तथा ठीक है? यदि नहीं, तो वह किस राहत का हकदार है?